

Morecambe Offshore Windfarm: Generation Assets Examination Documents

Volume 9

Draft Statement of Common Ground with Royal Society for the Protection of Birds

Document Reference: 9.2

Rev 01





Document History

Doc No	MOR001-FLO-CON-ENV-SCG-0002	Rev	01
Alt Doc No	PC1165-RHD-SG-XX-CO-Z-0002		
Document Status		Doc Date	26 November 2024
PINS Doc Ref	9.2	APFP Ref	n/a

Rev	Date	Doc Status	Originator	Reviewer	Approver	Modifications
01	26 November 2024	Approved for Use	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd	n/a

Doc Ref: 9.2 Rev 01 Page | **2 of 19**



Contents

1	Intro	oduction	8
	1.1	Overview of the Project	8
	1.2	Purpose of this document	8
	1.2.	1 Consultation with the RSPB	9
	1.2.	2 Summary of 'Agreed', 'Not Agreed' and 'In Discussion' matters	10
2	Stat	ement of Common Ground	10
	2.1	Offshore Ornithology	10
3	Sigr	natures	18
4	Refe	erences	19



Tables

Table 1.1 Topics included in the draft SoCG	9
Table 1.2 Summary of 'Agreed', 'Not Agreed' and 'In Discussion' matters	10
Table 2.1 Summary of consultation with the RSPB	11
Table 2.2 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed with RSPB in relation to offs ornithology	



Glossary of Acronyms

AfL	Agreement for Lease	
CMS	Construction Method Statement	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
DML	Deemed Marine Licence	
EPP	Evidence Plan Process	
ES	Environmental Statement	
ETG	Expert Topic Group	
OSP	Offshore substation platform	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
PINS	Planning Inspectorate	
PEMP	Project Environmental Management Plan	
RIAA	Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment	
RSPB	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	
UK	United Kingdom	
WTG	Wind turbine generator	

Glossary of Unit Terms

km ²	square kilometre
MW	Megawatt



Glossary of Terminology

Agreement for Lease (AfL)	Agreements under which seabed rights are awarded following the completion of The Crown Estate tender process.			
Applicant	Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd			
Application	This refers to the Applicant's application for a Development Consent Order (DCO). An application consists of a series of documents and plans which are published on the Planning Inspectorate's (PINS) website.			
Generation Assets (the Project)	Generation assets associated with the Morecambe Offshore Windfarm. This is infrastructure in connection with electricity production, namely the fixed foundation wind turbine generators (WTGs), inter-array cables, offshore substation platform(s) (OSP(s)) and possible platform link cables to connect OSP(s).			
The Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects			
Windfarm site	The area within which the WTGs, inter-array cables, OSP(s) and platform link cables would be present.			

Doc Ref: 9.2 Rev 01 P a g e | **6 of 19**



The future of renewable energy

A leading developer in Offshore Wind Projects



1 Introduction

1.1 Overview of the Project

- 1. The Morecambe Offshore Windfarm is a proposed offshore windfarm located in the Eastern Irish Sea, which when fully operational would have an anticipated nominal capacity of 480 megawatts (MW) and would have the potential to generate renewable power for over 500,000 homes in the United Kingdom (UK).
- 2. The windfarm was one of six projects selected by The Crown Estate in its Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4 in 2021. The Agreement for Lease (AfL) for the Morecambe Offshore Windfarm was received in 2023.
- 3. The AfL comprises an area of up to 125km² and reflects the windfarm site assessed in the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR). Following design development, surveys, assessments and consultation on the PEIR, the proposed windfarm site development area has been reduced to approximately 87km².
- 4. The 'Project' relates to the Generation Assets of the Morecambe Offshore Windfarm (including wind turbine generators (WTGs), inter-array cables, offshore substation platforms (OSP(s)), and possible platform link cables to connect OSP(s)).
- 5. A separate consent for the Transmission Assets associated with the Morecambe Offshore Windfarm and the Morgan Offshore Wind Project (another proposed windfarm to be located in the Irish Sea) is being sought.

1.2 Purpose of this document

- 6. This draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd (the Applicant) with input from the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB). This identifies topic areas where there is agreement, areas of disagreement, and areas which remain under discussion in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) Application for the Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Generation Assets (hereafter 'the Project').
- 7. The Applicant has had regard to the Planning Act 2008: Guidance for the examination of applications for development consent (Department for Communities and Local Government, 2015) when compiling this draft SoCG.
- 8. This draft SOCG has been structured to reflect topics of the DCO Application which are of interest to RSPB.
- 9. Matters that are not yet agreed will be the subject of ongoing discussion ('In Discussion') between the Applicant and RSPB to reach agreement on each

Doc Ref: 9.2 Rev 01 Page | **8 of 19**



- matter wherever possible or refine the extent of disagreement between parties.
- 10. Throughout the draft SoCG the phrase 'Agreed' identifies any point of agreement between the Applicant and RSPB. The phrase 'Not Agreed' identifies any points not agreed between the Applicant and RSPB.
- 11. **Table 1.1** lists topics and documents of the Application which are of key interest to RSPB.

Table 1.1 Topics included in the draft SoCG

Topic/chapter	PINS reference
Draft Development Consent Order	APP-012
Chapter 12 Offshore Ornithology	APP-049
Appendix 12.1 Offshore Ornithology Technical Report	APP-070
Habitats Regulation Assessment Screening Report and	APP-028
Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment	APP-027
Habitats Regulations Assessment Without Prejudice Derogation	APP-029
Case and Outline Compensation Implementation and Monitoring Plan	APP-030
Schedule of Mitigation	APP-144

1.2.1 Consultation with the RSPB

1.2.1.1 Pre-Application

- 12. The Applicant has engaged with RSPB on the Project during the pre-Application process, both in terms of informal non-statutory consultation and statutory consultation carried out pursuant to Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 held between 19th April and 4th June 2023.
- 13. Numerous meetings were held with the RSPB through the Evidence Plan Process (EPP). These are detailed throughout the SoCG as described in **Table 2.1**, and minutes of the Expert Topic Group (ETG) meetings are provided as an Appendix to the Consultation Report (APP-016). Further information on the consultation process is provided in the Consultation Report (APP-015).

1.2.1.2 Post-Application

14. The Applicant is committed to further engagement with RSPB and meetings to date are detailed in **Table 2.1**.

Doc Ref: 9.2 Rev 01 P a g e | **9 of 19**



15. The RSPB submitted a Relevant Representation (RR-073) in August 2024 that has been used to populate this draft SoCG.

1.2.2 Summary of 'Agreed', 'Not Agreed' and 'In Discussion' matters

- 16. In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' or 'in discussion', the colour coding system set out in **Table 1.2** has been used.
- 17. Details on specific matters that are 'Agreed', 'Not Agreed' or 'In Discussion' are presented in **Table 2.2**.

Table 1.2 Summary of 'Agreed', 'Not Agreed' and 'In Discussion' matters

Position status	Position colour coding
Agreed	Agreed
The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.	
Not Agreed – no material impact The matter is not agreed between the parties; however, the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant or the RSPB is not considered to result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions and the matter is considered to be closed for the purposes of this SoCG.	Not Agreed – no material impact
Not Agreed – material impact The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant or the RSPB is considered to result in a materially different impact to the assessment conclusions. Discussions on these matters have concluded.	Not Agreed – material impact
In Discussion The matter is neither 'agreed' nor 'not agreed' and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties	In Discussion

2 Statement of Common Ground

18. A summary of the consultation undertaken to date with the RSPB and the matters agreed, in discussion, or not agreed (based on discussions and information exchanged between the Applicant and the RSPB) are set out below for each of the draft SoCG topic areas.

2.1 Offshore Ornithology

19. **Table 2.1** provides a summary of the consultation undertaken to date with the RSPB regarding ornithology. Thereafter, **Table 2.2** sets out the topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed with the RSPB as informed by the consultation

Doc Ref: 9.2 Rev 01 P a g e | **10 of 19**



and information exchanged between the Applicant and the RSPB during the pre-application and examination phases of the Application.

Table 2.1 Summary of consultation with the RSPB

Date	Contact type	Owner	Topic
Pre-application			
February 2022	Meeting	Applicant	Introductory meeting about the projects overview, site selection, methodology, EIA scoping approach, EPP and bird surveys.
March 2022	Written submission	Applicant	Provision of a draft Generation Assets Scoping Report (FLO-MOR-REP-0002) by Applicant to ETG members for review/comment.
May 2022	Written submission	Applicant	Offshore Ornithology Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Method Statement (FLO-MOR-MS-0001) issued by the Applicant to ETG members which outlined the approach to characterising the baseline, the EIA methodology, collision risk modelling approach and potential impacts.
June 2022	Report	Applicant	Request for formal Scoping Opinion, through the submission of the Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Generation Assets Scoping Report (APP-143) by the Applicant. The Scoping Report outlined the existing environment, the impacts to be assessed in the ES, data gathering and key aspects of the assessment.
7 September 2022	Meeting	Applicant	Offshore Ornithology ETG 2
16 November 2022	Meeting	Applicant	Offshore Ornithology ETG 3
5 June 2023	Letter	RSPB	RSPB response to the PEIR
7 June 2023	Meeting	Applicant	Offshore Ornithology ETG 4
12 October 2023	Meeting	Applicant	Offshore Ornithology ETG 5
25 January 2024	Meeting	Applicant	Offshore Ornithology ETG 6
Post-Application	on		
11 September 2024	Meeting	Applicant	Meeting to discuss Relevant Representations.
5 November 2024	Meeting	Applicant	Meeting to discuss SoCG.



Table 2.2 Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed with RSPB in relation to offshore ornithology

Topic	Applicant's position	RSPB position	Position summary			
EIA - Policy	EIA – Policy and Planning					
RSPB 1	The RSPB have been adequately consulted by the Applicant regarding offshore ornithology.	Agreed	Agreed			
RSPB 2	All relevant plans and policies have been identified for the EIA assessment.	In discussion while documents are further reviewed	In Discussion			
EIA – Asses	ssment methodology					
RSPB 3	The study areas and baseline information used for the EIA assessment are appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed			
RSPB 4	The buffer areas used for collision risk modelling and displacement assessments are appropriate based on the revised site boundary between PEIR and the ES.	Agreed	Agreed			
RSPB 5	The impact assessment methodologies and definitions used for the EIA provide an appropriate approach to assessing potential effects of the Project.	In discussion while documents are further reviewed	In Discussion			
RSPB 6	The appropriate species are considered for collision risk modelling (CRM) (gannet, kittiwake, little gull, common gull, herring gull, lesser black-backed gull and great black-backed gull) and the species input parameters (including avoidance rates) for CRM are appropriate (with the exception of the application of macro-avoidance for gannet; refer to RSPB 12 below and Manx Shearwater; refer to RSPB 11 below).	In discussion while documents are further reviewed	In Discussion			
RSPB 7	The appropriate impacts are scoped in and out for construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning phases.	Agreed	Agreed			



Topic	Applicant's position	RSPB position	Position summary
RSPB 8	A 4km buffer for red throated diver displacement is appropriately used for the EIA and a 10km buffer is appropriately used for the RIAA (for Liverpool Bay SPA).	Agreed	Agreed
RSPB 9	The worst-case scenario presented in the assessment is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
RSPB 10	For the EIA assessment, the largest Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scales (BDMPS) (breeding or non-breeding) for each species is used as the reference population for assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
RSPB 11	Consideration of effects to Manx Shearwater are appropriate.	The RSPB has concerns regarding the baseline characterisation for Manx shearwater, specifically in respect of the absence of night-time survey information and the potential that the species may be under-recorded by digital aerial surveys. The RSPB also disagrees with the conclusion of the EIA assessment that there would be no adverse effect on Manx shearwaters as a result of collision. The RSPB considers that the species may be vulnerable to disorientation by artificial lighting at night, which could increase collision risk.	In discussion – positions by each party to be developed but given the information available across the industry there is unlikely to be a resolution within the examination timeframe
RSPB 12	The Applicant was made aware that RSPB did not support the use of macro-avoidance during the Evidence Plan process, and in response provided estimates of collision mortality both with and without macro-avoidance applied. This would not result in changes to the assessment conclusions.	The RSPB does not agree with the application of a 70% macro-avoidance for gannet recommended by Natural England for the collision risk assessment. However, it acknowledges that the Applicant has presented values both with and without application of macro-avoidance, and also	Agreed

Doc Ref: 9.2 Page | **13 of 19**



Topic	Applicant's position	RSPB position	Position summary
		that due to the low numbers of gannets recorded it is unlikely that an adverse effect would occur.	
RSPB 13	Ecosystem effects have been appropriately addressed within the assessment.	The RSPB has stated that it would welcome the inclusion of consideration of the potential wider ecosystem impacts that may arise through the construction and operation of the wind farm.	In discussion
RSPB 14	Consideration of the effects of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) and its relevance to the assessment are presented in the ES, with acknowledgement the uncertainty of long-term impacts of HPAI on seabird populations.	The RSPB considers that the impacts of HPAI have not been properly addressed within the assessment.	In discussion
EIA – Cumu	lative effects assessment (CEA) methodology		
RSPB 15	The inclusion of wind farm projects on the western seaboard of the UK to be included in the cumulative assessment is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
RSPB 16	The final list of projects used in the CEA is suitable.	Agreed	Agreed
RSPB 17	The approach to the CEA for historical projects where displacement and collision risk values are not publicly available is suitable, however for some species a gap analysis will be provided at Deadline 1.	RSPB considers that the Project should present CEA/in-combination values to include historic projects, in accordance with the advice provided by Natural England. Additional information to be provided at Deadline 1 to be reviewed.	In discussion – noting that updates will be provided by the Applicant at Deadline 1
EIA – Asses	sment conclusions		
RSPB 18	The conclusions of the Project alone assessment of effects for construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning are agreed.	The RSPB has raised specific concerns regarding the assessment for Manx shearwater (see Relevant Representation RSPB 11 and 12).	In discussion – positions by each party to be developed but given the information available



Торіс	Applicant's position	RSPB position	Position summary
			across the industry there is unlikely to be a resolution within the examination timeframe
RSPB 19	The conclusions of the cumulative assessment of effects for construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning are agreed.	The RSPB has raised specific concerns regarding the approach to the cumulative assessment in respect of historic projects (see Relevant Representation RSPB 17).	In discussion – noting that updates will be provided by the Applicant at Deadline 1
Mitigation			
RSPB 20	Given the effects of the Project, the proposed mitigation outlined for offshore ornithology within the Schedule of Mitigation (APP-144) are appropriate.	The RSPB has not raised any specific comments in relation to proposed mitigation for the Project. In discussion while documents are further reviewed.	In discussion - documents to be further reviewed by the RSPB
RIAA – Ass	essment methodology and conclusions		
RSPB 21	The appropriate designated features have been screened into the assessment for ornithology.	Agreed	Agreed
RSPB 22	The conclusions of the assessment of Project alone effects are agreed.	RSPB is largely in agreement with the conclusions in respect of Project-alone effects. However, it maintains concerns regarding the effects on Manx shearwater SPA populations (see RSPB 11 and 23).	In discussion
RSPB 23	The conclusions of the assessment of in-combination effects are agreed.	RSPB's current view is that adverse effect on integrity (AEoI) cannot be ruled out for: Manx shearwater (Irish Sea Front SPA, Copeland Islands SPA, Aberdaron Coast and Bardsey Island SPA, Skomer, Skokholm and the Seas off	In discussion – Applicant's response to relevant representations (PD1-011) to be reviewed by RSPB



Topic	Applicant's position	RSPB position	Position summary
		Pembrokeshire SPA, Rum SPA, Isles of Scilly SPA, St Kilda SPA) Lesser black-backed gull (Morecambe Bay and Duddon Estuary SPA and the Ribble and Alt Estuaries SPA) Red-throated diver (Liverpool Bay SPA) Great black-backed gull (Isles of Scilly SPA)	
Draft DCO a	and deemed marine licence (DML)		
RSPB 24	 The wording of the following requirements and conditions pertaining to ornithology are appropriate and adequate (APP-012; Updated for Procedural Deadline A: 3.1.1 Draft Development Consent Order): [Condition 9(1)(d) of Schedule 6] with reference to development of a Construction Method Statement (CMS) [Condition 9(1)(e) of Schedule 6] with reference to a Project Environmental Management Plan (PEMP) [Condition 9(1)(b) of Schedule 6] with reference to development of a construction programme [Condition 9(1)(c) of Schedule 6] with reference to a monitoring plan to include details of proposed pre-construction surveys, baseline report format and content, construction monitoring, post-construction monitoring and related reporting [Condition 9(1)) of Schedule 6] with reference to pre-construction vessel traffic monitoring in 	In discussion while documents are further reviewed.	In discussion - documents to be further reviewed by the RSPB

Doc Ref: 9.2 Page | **16 of 19**



Topic	Applicant's position	RSPB position	Position summary
	accordance with the Outline Vessel Traffic Management Plan.		
Other matte	ers as required		
RSPB 25	Compensation measures for lesser black-backed gull provided are adequate.	RSPB acknowledges the without prejudice compensation measures submitted with the DCO application, but is seeking clarity/additional information on a number of points: Specific objectives for compensation need to be established and the approach to estimating the scale of provided compensation should be reviewed. The RSPB agrees that predator exclusion and habitat management can be suitable measures to increase LBBG populations subject to provision of relevant evidence. The Applicant's assumptions around breeding density need to be reviewed. The RSPB considers additional details of the proposed compensatory measures remain to be presented in order to assess their suitability.	In discussion – Applicant's response to relevant representations together with 'Update on Without Prejudice LBBG Compensation Measures' (Doc Ref tbc) for submission at Deadline 1 to be reviewed by RSPB



3 Signatures

20. The above draft SoCG is agreed between the RSPB and the Applicant on the day specified below.

Signed:	
Print Name:	
Job Title:	
Date:	
Duly authorised for and on behalf of the R	RSPB
Signed:	
Signed: Print Name:	
Print Name:	

Doc Ref: 9.2 Rev 01 P a g e | **18 of 19**



4 References

DESNZ (2024) Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1)

DESNZ (2024) Overarching National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3)

Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd (2024) Consultation Report (APP-015)

Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd (2024) Draft DCO (APP-012)

Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd (2024) Chapter 12 Offshore Ornithology (APP-049)

Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd (2024) Appendix 12.1 Offshore Ornithology Technical Report (APP-070)

Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd (2024) Habitats Regulation Assessment Screening Report and Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment (APP-029 and APP-030)

Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd (2024) Schedule of Mitigation (APP-144)

Doc Ref: 9.2 Rev 01 P a g e | **19 of 19**